

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/489,594	MACLEOD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Vishal Patel	3676	

**All Participants:**

(1) Vishal Patel.

(2) James Sheridan (attorney).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 March 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*claim 1 and 6*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior art of record.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 1 is amendment to overcome art rejection. Claim 6 is no longer withdrawn, since claim 6 depends on claim 1 and is no longer restricted.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Vishal Patel* *4/6/04*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)